G. R. B. 661

Previous Volume

File No. 167-46

Serial Nos. 1-3

Later volume

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for

Subject.

G.R.S. 11] 1916.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Q. No.)

NOTES.

File No. 167-16

FILE HEADING.

From

No.	Dated	Received
Diary No.	Enclos. Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES First Sheet.

GPB—35,000—15-6-12

D.724

URGENT.

W. D. 791—GPB—2,000—2-10-15

C. S. 152

12. 7. 16

REPLY.

(To be written on this margin.)

Office of the Dewan of Mysore.

NOTE.

Dated.....11th....July.....1916.

1436

14.7.16

D.O. from the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, No.4841 dated 10th July 1916, in the matter of the proposed inclusion of Mysore under the scope of the Archaeological Surveys of India.

Forwarded to the Secretary, General Department, for favour of a draft reply, with the approval of the Second Member of Council.

I am inclined to support the views of the late Sir K. Seshadri Iyer and the Hon'ble the Resident.

11.7.16

The Secretary,

General Department.

5

Return requested within ~~7~~ days.

Draft reply is put up.

(Second Member) J Ahmed

331

15.7.16

Approved with slight alterations.

15-7-16

The DO. reply has been issued and the papers returned.

14/22/7/16

22.7.16.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Gen. File No. 167 — of 1916.

Serial No.	
	From Lieut. H.V. Cobb Esq No 4841 of 10-7-16 enquiries whether the Dunbar desires that the Imposers to be erected within the limits of the Sultan's Circle of the Aden Settlement.
2	Do. the same gentleman No 5712-7-16. Acknowledges with thanks the receipt of the above D.O. letter.
3	Do. the same gentleman 22-7-16 In continuation of his former letter furnishes the views of the Dunbar [illegible].

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Copy of a letter No. 2325-1, dated the 27th April 1916, from the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Department, to the Director General of Archaeology in India.

I am directed to invite a reference to the statement showing the distribution of territory among the archaeological charges in India appended to the resolution of the Government of India Home Department (Archeaeology and Epigraphy) No. 134-146, dated the 28th April 1906, and to point out that, while the Nizam's Dominions are specifically included in the Western Circle, there is nothing in the statement indicating the Circle to which Mysore including the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, is attached for archaeological purposes. According to the statement referred to above the Southern Circle comprises Madras and Coorg, but in your letter No. 369, dated the 24th February 1916, it is stated that Mysore is attached to the Southern Circle for Archaeological purposes. A question having arisen whether the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, forms part of the jurisdiction of the Assistant Archaeological Superintendent for Epigraphy, Southern Circle, I am directed to request that you will be so good as to state whether Mysore should be regarded as forming part of the Southern Circle, and if so to favour this Government with authority, if any, on the matter.

"C o p y"

Registrar, Resident's Office.

D.O. letter dated 1st August 1900, from Sir K.Seshadri Iyer Dewan of Mysore, to the Resident in Mysore.

Herewith I return with thanks the papers received with your d.o. of the 14th ultimo re the conservation of ancient monuments, etc.

We have in Mysore a Specialist of high attainments in the person of Mr. L.Rice, C.I.E., who has for several years past been our Director of Archaeological Researches, and whose work is briefly described in his memo. dated the 13th July 1900.

We have also been devoting special attention to old buildings of historical interest not coming within the scope of Mr. Rice's duties, and have spared no funds to see them kept in a state of preservation, the agencies employed for the purpose being the Muzrai Superintendent and the D.P.W. The following are the Sums spent by the Mysore Durbar through the several agencies mentioned above during the past five years:-

(1) Director of Archaeological Researches.	Rs. 1,84,446.
(2) Muzrai Superintendent.	74,416
(3) D.P.W.	32,865.
	Rs. 2,91,727

or an average of Rs. 58,345 a year. The Durbar will continue to spend in future as much money as may be required for the satisfactory up-keep of the several ancient buildings of historical interest or architectural excellence in the State.

I beg to add that under the special arrangements already existing such special assistance as that so kindly offered by the Government of India is not required in the case of Mysore and that the State may appropriately be regarded as forming a separate circle by itself. If at any time the British Government wishes to depute an officer to report on any particlar work or works in Mysore, the Durbar will gladly afford such officer every facility for his inspection.

DIARY NO. 724 D. O. NO. 2724

BANGALORE.

D. O. No. 4841 a—3. 10th July 1916.

My Dear Sir M. Visvesvaraya,

Some little time ago I enquired whether you would like Sir John Marshall in the course of his next cold weather tour to pay Mysore a visit and favour us with his advice, if any, on the conservation work in which the Durbar is taking so much interest.

2. The Government of India have now forwarded to me - somewhat to my surprise I must admit - a copy of a letter* from Dr. Spooner (now apparently officiating for Sir John) with enclosure, enquring whether Mysore should be regarded as included for archaeological purposes in the Southern Circle of that Department.

*Copy enclosed.

In this connection I would invite your attention to Sir Seshadri Iyer's demi-official letter dated the 1st August 1900, to Sir Donald Robertson a copy of which I enclose for ready reference.

May I assume that the Durbar's views are the same now as in 1900 and inform the Government of India accordingly? Personally I see no advantage whatever in Mysore being included within the scope of the Southern Circle of the Archaeological Department, though I think an occasional visit of an informal character from the Director General might be very valuable and stimulating. I shall be highly obliged if you will favour me with your views in the matter.

Yours sincerely,

H. V. Cobb

Sir M. Visvesvaraya, K.C.I.E.,

Dewan of Mysore.

Kgh

Letter No. 1494, dated the 7th June 1916, from the Director General of Archaeology in India, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Education.

I have the honour to send you enclosed herewith copy of a letter No. 2325-1, dated the 27th April 1916, from the Secretary to the Government of Madras (Public Department) to my address in which the question is raised whether or not Mysore, including the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, is attached for archaeological purposes to the Southern Circle of this Department. It appears that in 1900, Mysore was included in the Survey Scheme, but that since that time there has been no official or authoritative pronouncement on the subject although Mysore was not specifically included in the 1906 scheme when the Archaeological Department was put on a permanent footing. I should therefore be much obliged if you would have the goodness to inform me of Government's wishes and decision in the matter which I will then convey to the Hon'ble Diwan Bahadur.

"C o p y"

Registrar, Resident's office.

Mysore
12 July 46

No. 4171

To

The Hon'ble
Mr.H.V.Cobb, C.S.I.,C.I.E.,
Resident in Mysore.

My dear Mr.Cobb,

I write to acknowledge, with thanks, the receipt of your D.O letter No.4841 dated the 10th instant regarding the proposed inclusion of Mysore under the scope of the Archaeological Surveys of India.

The matter is receiving attention and I hope to be able to send a reply within the next few days.

Yours sincerely,

12.7.46

Dewan.

Bangalore
22nd July 16

4183

To

The Hon'ble Mr. H.V.Cobb, C.S.I.,

Resident in Mysore.

My dear Mr. Cobb,

In continuation of my demi-official letter No.4171, dated the 12th July 1916, I write to state that the Durbar ~~agree with your view~~ consider that no advantage would be gained by regarding Mysore as included for Archaeological purposes in the Southern Circle of the Department of Archaeology, Government of India. The Durbar have for several years past maintained an efficient Department of Archaeology of their own, which has been engaged on work of research and conservation on certain lines: that being so, the State may appropriately be regarded as forming a separate circle by itself.

The Durbar would, however, gladly welcome occasional visits of an informal character from the Director General and would afford him every facility for his ~~inspection~~ visit, ~~as already assured in Sir K Seshadri Iyer's D.O. letter of 1st August 1900.~~

Yours sincerely,

22.7.16

(Dewan).

As revised by the Second Member.
Vide my remarks on the Papers
Draft Dewan

Dewan
1577

The Hon'ble Mr.H.V.Cobb, C.S.I.
C.I.E.,
Resident in Mysore.

My dear Mr.Cobb,

In continuation of my demi-official letter No.4171, dated the 12th July 1916, I write to state that the Durbar ~~consider~~ agree with your views that ~~it~~ would be ~~inexpedient~~ no advantage ~~to~~ gained by regarding Mysore as included for Archaeological purposes in the Southern Circle of the Department of Archaeology, Government of India. ~~The Durbar~~ They have for several years past maintained ~~an Officer~~ the Department of Archaeology ~~of Mysore~~ which has been engaged on work of research and ~~other works~~ conservation on certain lines ~~of its own~~; that being so the State may appropriately be regarded as forming a separate circle by itself.

The Durbar would, however, gladly welcome occasional visits of an informal character from the Director General and would afford him every facility for his inspection, as already done in the case of Sir J. Marshall & Mr. Hackin.

Yours sincerely,

(D E W A N)

I did not say "agree with your view" as I thought it would put the Durbar in a delicate position. Dewan 15/7

I do not refer to Sir Marshall's tour as the arrangements were not forthcoming here Dewan 15/7

Dewan 14/7